arXiv:1609.03028v1 [math.GR] 10 Sep 2016

A CHARACTERIZATION OF A-SIMPLE GROUPS

PAUL FLAVELL

Abstract. Let A be an elementary abelian r-group with rank at least 3 that acts faithfully on the finite r'-group G. Assume that G is A-simple, so that $G = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_n$ where $K_1, \ldots, K_n$ is a collection of simple subgroups of G that is permuted transitively by A. The purpose of this paper is to characterize G and the collection of fixed point subgroups $\{C_G(a) \mid a \in A^\#\}$. An application of this result will be a new proof of McBride's Nonsolvable Signalizer Functor Theorem.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, r is a prime and A is an elementary abelian r-group with rank at least 3. Suppose that A acts faithfully on the finite r'-group G and that G is A-simple. Then $G = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_n$ where $K_1, \ldots, K_n$ is a collection of simple subgroups of G that is permuted transitively by A. The *type* of G is defined to be the isomorphism class of K_1. Let $a \in A^\#$ and suppose that K_1 is one of the known simple groups. Since A is elementary abelian, exactly one of the following holds:

(a) $\langle\, a \,\rangle$ acts semiregularly on $\langle\, K_1, \ldots, K_n \,\rangle$ and $C_G(a)$ is A-simple with the same type as G.
(b) a normalizes each K_i, $E(C_{K_i}(a))$ is simple, $F(C_{K_i}(a)) = 1$ and $E(C_G(a))$ is A-simple.
(c) a normalizes each K_i and $C_G(a)$ is solvable.

Moreover in cases (a) and (b),

$$C_A(E(C_G(a))) = \langle\, a \,\rangle.$$

These facts are established in [2]. For all $a, b \in A^\#$ we have

$$E(E(C_G(a)) \cap C_G(b)) \leq E(C_G(b))$$

since in (b), $C_G(a)/E(C_G(a))$ is solvable. In addition

$$G = \langle\, E(C_G(a)) \mid a \in A^\# \,\rangle.$$

The purpose of this paper is to prove that these conditions characterize G and the collection of fixed point subgroups $\{\, C_G(a) \mid a \in A^\# \,\}$. We shall prove:

Theorem A. *Let r be a prime and A an elementary abelian r-group of rank at least 3 that acts on the group G. Suppose that for each $a \in A^\#$ that $\theta(a)$ is a finite A-invariant r'-subgroup of $C_G(a)$ and that the following hold:*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20D45 20D05 20E34 .

A considerable portion of this research was done whilst the author was in receipt of a Leverhulme Research Project grant and during visits to the Mathematisches Seminar, Christian-Albrechts-Universität, Kiel, Germany. The author expresses his thanks to the Leverhulme Trust for their support and to the Mathematisches Seminar for its hospitality.

(a) *If* $a \in A^\#$ *with* $E(\theta(a)) \neq 1$ *then* $E(\theta(a))$ *is an* A*-simple* K*-group,* $F(\theta(a)) = 1$ *and* $C_A(E(\theta(a))) = \langle\, a \,\rangle$.

(b) *For all* $a, b \in A^\#$,

$$\theta(a) \cap C_G(b) \leq \theta(b)$$

and

$$E(E(\theta(a)) \cap C_G(b)) \leq E(\theta(b)).$$

(c) $G = \langle\, E(\theta(a)) \mid a \in A^\# \,\rangle$.

Then G *is a finite* r'*-group, it is* A*-simple and a* K*-group. Moreover*

$$C_G(a) = \theta(a)$$

for all $a \in A^\#$.

A number of remarks are in order.

- The first condition on (b) asserts that θ is an A-signalizer functor on G. The conclusion asserts that θ is complete. Theorem 1 is therefore a special case of the Nonsolvable Signalizer Functor Theorem.
- The Nonsolvable Signalizer Functor Theorem was proved by McBride [6, 7] and plays a fundamental role in the proof of the Classification of the Finite Simple Groups, see [4] for example. This paper is one of a sequence of papers on automorphisms of K-groups, one of whose aims is to give a new proof of the Nonsolvable Signalizer Functor Theorem. In both his papers, McBride proves results similar to Theorem 1. Hence this paper may be regarded as a revision of part of McBride's work.
- Theorem 1 is analogous to the standard form problems that arise in the proof of the Classification of the Finite Simple Groups.
- Recall that a K-group is a finite group all of whose simple sections are known simple groups. Since the main application of the Nonsolvable Signalizer Functor Theorem is to the Classification, the K-group assumption in (a) causes no difficulty. A crucial consequence is that if a is an automorphism with order r of the simple r'-group K then $C_K(a)$ has a unique minimal normal subgroup. It would be interesting to see if it possible to establish this without the K-group assumption.

2. Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1. (a) *Suppose that* K *is a simple* K*-group and an* r'*-group. Then the Sylow* r*-subgroups of* $\mathrm{Aut}(K)$ *are cyclic.*

(b) *Suppose that* A *is an elementary abelian* r*-group that acts coprimely on the* K*-group* G.

(i) *If* G *is* A*-simple then* $F^*(C_G(A))$ *is the unique minimal normal subgroup of* $C_G(A)$.

(ii) *If* $K \in \mathrm{comp}_A(G)$ *then* $C_G(C_K(A)) = C_G(A)$.

(c) *Suppose that* B *is an elementary abelian* r*-group and that* K *is a simple* K*-group and an* r'*-group. Then there exists a prime* p *such that whenever* J *is* B*-simple of type* K *then*

$$J = \langle\, C_J(B), Z(P) \,\rangle$$

for all $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(J; B)$.

Proof. (a) and (b) follows from [2, Theorem 4.1].

(c). TO DO. This reduces quickly to the case when J is simple and B has order r. □

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that A acts coprimely on the K-group X and that X is A-simple. Suppose also that $B \leq A$ is noncyclic. Then*

$$X = \langle\, C_X(b) \mid b \in B^{\#} \text{ and } C_X(b) \text{ is } A\text{-simple with the same type as } X \,\rangle.$$

Proof. If $C_B(X) \neq 1$ then $X = C_X(b)$ for any $b \in B^{\#}$ and there is nothing to prove. Hence we may assume that $C_B(X) = 1$. Then we may replace A by $A/C_A(X)$ and assume that $C_A(X) = 1$. Set $A_\infty = \ker(A \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}(\mathrm{comp}(X)))$. [2, Lemma 6.4] implies A_∞ is cyclic so $B \cap A_\infty < B$. Let

$$Y = \langle\, C_X(b) \mid b \in B \setminus B \cap A_\infty \,\rangle.$$

[2, Lemma 6.5] implies that $C_X(b)$ is A-simple with the same type as X for all $b \in B \setminus A_\infty$. Hence it suffices to show that $Y = X$. [2, Lemma 6.5(d)] implies $C_A(C_X(b)) = \langle\, b \,\rangle$ for all $b \in B \setminus B \cap A_\infty$. Then $C_A(Y) \leq \langle\, b \,\rangle$ so as B is noncyclic and $B \cap A_\infty$ is cyclic, it follows that $C_A(Y) = 1$. Note that $C_X(b)$ is overdiagonal, which implies that $C_X(b)$ projects onto each component of X for each $b \in B \setminus B \cap A_\infty$, so Y is overdiagonal. [2, Lemma 6.6] implies $Y = C_X(D)$ for some $D \leq A$. But $C_A(Y) = 1$ so $D = 1$ and $Y = C_X(1) = X$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let R be a group that acts coprimely on the group G. Assume that*

$$G = G_1 * \cdots * G_n.$$

where $\{\, G_1, \ldots, G_n \,\}$ is a collection of perfect subgroups of G on which R acts semiregularly. Then $C_G(R)$ is perfect.

Proof. Note that $*$ denotes a central product. Let $G^* = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ and observe that R acts on G^*. The map $G^* \longrightarrow G$ defined by $(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \mapsto g_1 \cdots g_n$ is an R-epimorphism. By Coprime Action, $C_G(R)$ is the image of $C_{G^*}(R)$. Visibly $C_{G^*}(R)$ is perfect. □

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose G is a perfect group and $G/Z(G)$ is a finite r'-group. Then G is a finite r'-group.*

Proof. [8, Theorem 9.8, p.250] implies that G is finite. Now $O_r(Z(G))$ is a normal Hall subgroup of G. Apply the Schur-Zassenhaus Theoren and the fact that G is perfect. □

3. Part 1

Henceforth we assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1. For each $a \in A^{\#}$ set

$$E_a = E(\theta(a)).$$

If $E_a \neq 1$ set

$$A_a = \ker\left(A \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}(\mathrm{comp}(E_a))\right)$$

otherwise set $A_a = A$.

Consider the types of E_a as a ranges over $A^{\#}$ and choose a type K of maximal order. Note that K exists because $G = \langle\, E_a \mid a \in A^{\#} \,\rangle$. Set

$$\mathcal{A}_{max} = \{\, a \in A^{\#} \mid E_a \text{ has type } K \,\}$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{min} = A^{\#} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{max}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $a, b \in A^{\#}$. Then*

$$E(C_{E_a}(b)) = E(C_{E_b}(a)).$$

Proof. By assumption, $E(C_{E_a}(b)) \leq E_b$ so

$$E(C_{E_a}(b)) \leq C_{E_b}(a) \leq \theta(b) \cap C_G(a) \leq \theta(b) \cap \theta(a).$$

Now $E_a \trianglelefteq \theta(a)$ so $\theta(a) \cap \theta(b)$ normalizes $E(C_{E_a}(b))$. Consequently $E(C_{E_a}(b)) \leq E(C_{E_b}(a))$. The conclusion follows by symmetry. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}_{max}$. Then $|\, A_a \,| = r$ or r^2.*

Proof. By hypothesis, E_a is the direct product of simple groups that are permuted transitively by A. Since A is elementary abelian, it follows that $A_a/C_A(E_a)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the automorphism group of a component of E_a. Hence $A_a/C_A(E_a)$ has order at most r by Lemma 2.1. Also by hypothesis, $C_A(E_a) = \langle\, a \,\rangle$, hence the result. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}_{max}$ and $b \in A^{\#}$ with $\langle\, a \,\rangle \neq \langle\, b \,\rangle$. Exactly one of the following holds:*

(a) *$b \notin A_a$ and $a \notin A_b$. Moreover $b \in \mathcal{A}_{max}$ and $|\, A_a \,| = |\, A_b \,|$.*
(b) *$b \in A_a$ and $a \notin A_b$. Moreover $b \in \mathcal{A}_{min}$.*
(c) *$b \in A_a$ and $a \in A_b$.*

Proof. We have

$$E_a = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_\alpha$$

where $\{\, K_1, \ldots, K_\alpha \,\}$ are the components of K. Since A is elementary abelian and transitive on $\{\, K_1, \ldots, K_\alpha \,\}$ it follows that A/A_a is regular. In particular, $\alpha = |\, A : A_\alpha \,|$.

Suppose $b \notin A_a$. Then b acts as the product of α/r cycles of length r. Visibly

$$E(C_{E_a}(b)) = C_{E_a}(b) \cong K^{\alpha/r}. \tag{1}$$

Suppose $b \in A_a$. Then $C_{E_a}(b) = C_{K_1}(b) \times \cdots \times C_{K_\alpha}(b)$ and A is transitive on $\{\, C_{K_1}(b), \ldots, C_{K_\alpha}(b) \,\}$. Then there is an action of b on K such that

$$E(C_{E_a}(b)) \cong E(C_K(b))^\alpha \tag{2}$$

and $C_K(b) \cong C_{K_i}(b)$ for all i. As $\langle\, b \,\rangle \neq \langle\, a \,\rangle = C_A(E_a)$ it follows that $C_K(b) < K$.

Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$E(C_{E_a}(b)) = E(C_{E_b}(a)). \tag{3}$$

Suppose that $E_b = 1$. Then $E(C_{E_a}(b)) = 1$ so the previous paragraph implies $b \in A_a$. By the definition of A_b we have $A = A_b$ so $a \in A_b$ and (c) holds. Hence we may assume that $E_b \neq 1$.

We have

$$E_b = J_1 \times \cdots \times J_\beta$$

where $\{\, J_1, \ldots, J_\beta \,\}$ are the components of E_b and $\beta = |\, A : A_b \,|$. Let J be the type of E_b. Then there are expressions for $E(C_{E_b}(a))$ analogous to (1) and (2).

Assume $b \notin A_a$ and $a \notin A_b$. Then $K^{\alpha/r} \cong J^{\beta/r}$ whence $K \cong J$, so $b \in \mathcal{A}_{max}$. Also $\alpha = \beta$ so $|\, A_a \,| = |\, A_b \,|$ and (a) holds.

Assume $b \in A_a$ and $a \notin A_b$. Then $E(C_K(b))^\alpha \cong J^{\beta/r}$. Consequently $|\, J \,| \leq |\, E(C_K(b)) \,| < |\, K \,|$ so $b \in \mathcal{A}_{min}$ and (b) holds. If $b \notin A_a$ and $a \in A_b$, then similarly $|\, K \,| < |\, J \,|$, contrary to the choice of K, hence this case does not arise. The only remaining possibility is (c). □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}_{max}$.*

(a) *If $b \in A_a \cap \mathcal{A}_{max}$ then $A_a = A_b$.*
(b) *$\mathcal{A}_{min} \subseteq A_a$.*
(c) *If $b \in A \setminus A_a$ then $b \in \mathcal{A}_{max}$; $a \notin A_b$; $C_{E_a}(b)$ and $C_{E_b}(a)$ are A-simple; $C_{E_a}(b) = C_{E_b}(a)$ and $C_{E_a}(A) = C_{E_b}(A)$.*

Proof. (a). We may suppose $\langle\, a \,\rangle \neq \langle\, b \,\rangle$. Lemma 3.2 implies $A_a = \langle\, a, b \,\rangle$. Now $b \in A_a$ so only case (c) of Lemma 3.3 may hold. Thus $a \in A_b$ and $A_b = \langle\, a, b \,\rangle = A_a$.

(b). Let $b \in \mathcal{A}_{min}$. Case (a) of Lemma 3.3 cannot hold so $b \in A_a$.

(c). Since $b \notin A_a$. Only Case (a) of Lemma 3.3 can hold so $b \in \mathcal{A}_{max}$ and $a \notin A_b$. Since $b \notin A_a = \ker(A \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}(\mathrm{comp}(E_a)))$ it follows that $C_{E_a}(b)$ is A-simple. Similarly so is $C_{E_b}(a)$. Lemma 3.1 implies $C_{E_a}(b) = C_{E_b}(a)$. Now $a, b \in A$ whence $C_{E_a}(A) = C_{E_b}(A)$. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}_{max}$. Then*

$$A_a = \langle\, \mathcal{A}_{min}, a \,\rangle.$$

Moreover, $|\langle\, \mathcal{A}_{min} \,\rangle| = 1$ or r.

Proof. Choose $b \in A \setminus A_a$. This is possible since $|A_a| = r$ or r^2 by Lemma 3.2 and since $\mathrm{rank}(A) \geq 3$. Lemma 3.4(c) implies $C_{E_a}(b)$ is A-simple and

$$C_{E_a}(b) = C_{E_b}(a). \tag{1}$$

The components of $C_{E_a}(b)$ correspond to the orbits of $\langle\, b \,\rangle$ on $\mathrm{comp}(E_a)$. Since A_a acts trivially on $\mathrm{comp}(E_a)$ it follows that A_a acts trivially on $\mathrm{comp}(C_{E_a}(b))$. Then (1) implies that A_a acts trivially on the set of orbits of $\langle\, a \,\rangle$ on $\mathrm{comp}(E_b)$. Now A/A_b is abelian and regular on $\mathrm{comp}(E_b)$ so it follows that $\langle\, a \,\rangle$ and A_a induce the same group on $\mathrm{comp}(E_b)$. Consequently

$$A_a = \langle\, A_a \cap A_b, a \,\rangle. \tag{2}$$

Lemma 3.4(b) implies $\mathcal{A}_{min} \subseteq A_a \cap A_b$. Now $a \in A_a$ and $a \notin A_b$ so $A_a \neq A_b$. If there exists $1 \neq b' \in (A_a \cap A_b) \setminus \mathcal{A}_{min}$ then $b' \in A_a \cap A_b \cap \mathcal{A}_{max}$ so Lemma 3.4(a) implies $A_a = A_{b'} = A_b$, a contradiction. We deduce that

$$\langle\, \mathcal{A}_{min} \,\rangle = A_a \cap A_b < A_a.$$

Again, strict containment holding because $a \in A_a \setminus A_b$. Then (2) implies $A_a = \langle\, \mathcal{A}_{min}, a \,\rangle$. By Lemma 3.2, $|A_a| \leq r^2$ whence $|\langle\, \mathcal{A}_{min} \,\rangle| \leq r$. □

Henceforth we set

$$A_\infty = \langle\, \mathcal{A}_{min} \,\rangle.$$

Then using Lemma 3.5, we have:

Theorem 3.6. *Exactly one of the following holds:*

(a) *$A_\infty = 1$. For each $a \in A^\#$,*

$$E_a \cong K^{|A|/r} \text{ and } A_a = \langle\, a \,\rangle.$$

(b) *$|A_\infty| = r$. For each $a \in A \setminus A_\infty$,*

$$E_a \cong K^{|A|/r^2} \text{ and } A_a = \langle\, A_\infty, a \,\rangle.$$

Remark. We will eventually show that G is A-simple of type K. The two possibilities in Theorem 3.6 corresponds to whether A acts faithfully on $\mathrm{comp}(G)$ or not; with A_∞ being the kernel of this action. Moreover, we have established that the subgroups $E(\theta(a))$ have the same structure as the corresponding subgroups in the target group.

4. Part 2

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose B satisfies $A_\infty < B \leq A$. Then*

$$G = \langle\, E_b \mid b \in B \setminus A_\infty \,\rangle.$$

Proof. Let $H = \langle\, E_b \mid b \in B \setminus A_\infty \,\rangle$. We claim that if $a \in A \setminus A_\infty$ then $E_a \leq H$. Indeed, Lemma 2.2 implies

$$E_a = \langle\, C_{E_a}(b) \mid b \in B^\# \text{ and } C_{E_a}(b) \text{ is } A\text{-simple with the same type as } E_a \,\rangle.$$

Write $E_a = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_n$ where $K_1, \ldots, K_n$ are the components of E_a. Suppose $e \in A_\infty^\#$. Theorem 3.6 implies that e normalizes each K_i so $C_{E_a}(e) = C_{K_1}(e) \times \cdots \times C_{K_n}(e)$. By assumption, $C_A(E_a) = \langle\, a \,\rangle$ so as $a \in A \setminus A_\infty$ we have $C_{K_i}(e) \neq K_i$. It follows that $C_{E_a}(e)$ is not A-simple with the same type as E_a. Suppose $b \in B^\#$ and $C_{E_a}(b)$ is A-simple with the same type as E_a. Then $b \notin A_\infty$. Lemma 3.1 implies $C_{E_a}(b) \leq E_b \leq H$ and the claim follows.

Suppose $e \in A_\infty^\#$. We claim that $E_e \leq H$. Theorem 3.6 implies A_∞ is cyclic so as $\mathrm{rank}(A) \geq 3$ we may choose $D \leq A$ with D noncyclic and $D \cap A_\infty = 1$. Lemma 2.2 implies

$$E_e = \langle\, C_{E_e}(d) \mid d \in D^\# \text{ and } C_{E_e}(d) \text{ is } A\text{-simple.} \,\rangle$$

If $d \in D^\#$ with $C_{E_e}(d)$ being A-simple then as before $C_{E_e}(d) \leq E_d$. Now $d \notin A_\infty$ so $E_d \leq H$ by the previous paragraph. We have shown that $E_a \leq H$ for all $a \in A^\#$. By assumption, $G = \langle\, E_a \mid a \in A^\# \,\rangle$, completing the proof. □

Set

$$\overline{G} = G/Z(G).$$

For each $B \leq A$ define

$$\Omega(B) = \bigcup_{b \in B \setminus A_\infty} \mathrm{comp}_B(E_b).$$

Recall that if B acts on the group X then the B-components of X are the groups generated by the orbits of B on $\mathrm{comp}(X)$. The set of B-components of X is denoted by $\mathrm{comp}_B(X)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that B satisfies $A_\infty < B < A$. Suppose also that "does not commute" is an equivalence relation on $\Omega(B)$. Then:*

(a) *$\overline{G}$ is the direct product of $|\, A : B \,|$ perfect subgroups. These subgroups are permuted transitively by A and the A-stabilizer of any of them is B.*
(b) *G is a finite r'-group.*
(c) *Let $a \in A \setminus B$ then*

$$C_G(a) = \theta(a) = E_a \text{ and } C_{Z(G)}(a) = 1.$$

Proof. Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation "does not commute" on $\Omega(B)$ and let $n = |\,A : B\,|$. Let $b \in B \setminus A_\infty$. Theorem 3.6 implies that $\mathrm{comp}(E_b)$ consists of $|\,A\,|/r|\,A_\infty\,|$ copies of K that are permuted regularly by $A/\langle\ b, A_\infty\ \rangle$. Now $\langle\ b, A_\infty\ \rangle \leq B$ so B has n orbits on $\mathrm{comp}(E_b)$ and we have

$$E_b = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$$

where $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are the B-components of E_b. Note that A is transitive on $\{\, X_1, \ldots, X_n \,\}$ and for each i, $B = N_A(X_i)$.

We claim that $\{\, X_1, \ldots, X_n \,\}$ is a set of representatives for the equivalence classes of $\sim$. If $i \neq j$ then $[X_i, X_j] = 1$ so X_i and X_j lie in different classes. Let $Y \in \Omega(B)$. Note that $C_Y(b) \neq 1$ since Y is not nilpotent. Then $1 \neq C_Y(b) \leq \theta(b)$. Now $E_b \neq 1$ so by assumption $F(\theta(b)) = 1$ and then $C_{\theta(b)}(E_b) = 1$. Hence there exists i with $[X_i, C_Y(b)] \neq 1$. In particular, $X_i \sim Y$. The claim is established.

For each i let G_i be the group generated by the equivalence equivalence class of X_i. Since B-components are perfect, so is each G_i. The definition of $\sim$ implies $[G_i, G_j] = 1$ for all $i \neq j$. Lemma 4.1 implies $G = G_1 * \cdots * G_n$ and so

$$\overline{G} = \overline{G_1} * \cdots * \overline{G_n}.$$

Then A is transitive on $\{\, \overline{G_1}, \ldots, \overline{G_n} \,\}$ and for each i, $B = N_A(\overline{G_i})$. In particular, (a) holds.

For each i let $\pi_i : \overline{G} \longrightarrow \overline{G_i}$ be the projection map. Let $a \in A \setminus B$. Without loss, $X_1^a = X_2, X_2^a = X_3, \ldots$. In particular, a is nontrivial on $\mathrm{comp}(E_b)$ and so $C_{E_b}(a)$ is A-simple. Lemma 3.1 implies $C_{E_b}(a) \leq E_a$. Let $x_1 \in X_1$, put $x_2 = x_1^a, x_3 = x_2^a, \ldots$ and $x = x_1 \cdots x_r \in C_{E_b}(a) \leq E_a$. Then $\overline{x} \in \overline{E_a}$ and $\overline{x_1} = \overline{x}\pi_1 \in \overline{E_a}\pi_1$. We deduce that

$$\overline{X_1} \leq \overline{E_a}\pi_1.$$

Now suppose $Y_1 \in \Omega(B)$ and $Y_1 \sim X_1$. Then for some $b' \in B \setminus A_\infty$ we have $Y_1 \in \mathrm{comp}_B(E_{b'})$. We may write $E_{b'} = Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n$ with $Y_i \sim X_i$ for all i. Then G_i is the group generated by the equivalence class of Y_i. The previous paragraph, with (b', Y_1) in the role of (b, X_1) implies $\overline{Y_1} \leq \overline{E_a}\pi_1$. It follows that

$$\overline{G_1} \leq \overline{E_a}\pi_1 \leq \overline{\theta(a)}\pi_1 \leq \overline{C_G(a)}\pi_1 \leq \overline{G_1}$$

and then we have equality.

Observe that $\overline{G_1}$ is a finite r'-group since it is the image of the finite r'-group $\theta(a)$. Then $\overline{G}$ is a finite r'-group. Now G is perfect since it is generated by the perfect subgroups G_i. Lemma 2.4 implies that G is a finite r'-group so (b) holds.

Since $\langle\ a\ \rangle$ is semiregular on $\{\, \overline{G_1}, \ldots, \overline{G_n} \,\}$ we have $\overline{C_G(a)} \cap \ker \pi_1 = 1$ so the previously proved equality forces

$$\overline{E_a} = \overline{\theta(a)} = \overline{C_G(a)}.$$

In particular, $E_a(Z(G) \cap C_G(a)) = C_G(a)$. Lemma 2.3 implies that $C_G(a)$ is perfect. Consequently $E_a = C_G(a)$. Since $E_a \leq \theta(a)$ we obtain $E_a = \theta(a) = C_G(a)$. Also as $E_a \neq 1$ we have $F(\theta(a)) = 1$ so $C_{Z(G)}(a) = 1$ and (c) holds. □

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ satisfy:*

- *For each i, $A_\infty < B_i < A$ and "does not commute" is an equivalence relation on $\Omega(B_i)$.*
- *$A_\infty = B_1 \cap \cdots \cap B_m$.*

Then G is an A-simple r'-group. It is the direct product of $|\, A : A_\infty \,|$ copies of K, $A_\infty = \ker(A \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}(\mathrm{comp}(G)))$ and for all $a \in A^\#$,

$$\theta(a) = C_G(a).$$

In particular, θ is complete.

Proof. Let $a \in A \setminus A_\infty$. Then there exists i with $a \notin B_i$ so Lemma 4.2 implies

$$\theta(a) = C_G(a) \text{ and } C_{Z(G)}(a) = 1.$$

Choose $D < A$ with $D \cap A_\infty =$ and $\mathrm{rank}(A) = 2$. Suppose $1 \neq e \in A_\infty$. Now G is an r'-group by Lemma 4.2 so by considering the action of D on $C_G(e)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_G(e) &= \langle\, C_G(e) \cap C_G(d) \mid d \in D^\# \,\rangle \\ &= \langle\, C_G(e) \cap \theta(d) \mid d \in D^\# \,\rangle \leq \theta(e). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\theta(e) = C_G(e).$$

Consequently θ is complete. Moreover $C_{Z(G)}(d) = 1$ for all $d \in D^\#$ so as D is noncyclic, this forces $Z(G) = 1$.

Let

$$G = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_l$$

be a decomposition of G into a direct product of nontrivial indecomposable groups. Since G is perfect, so is each K_i and this decomposition is unique by the Krull-Schmidt Theorem. In particular, A permutes $\{\, K_1, \ldots, K_l \,\}$.

Recall that $a \in A \setminus A_\infty$. Choose i with $a \notin B_i$. Now $Z(G) = 1$ so Lemma 4.2 implies there exists a decomposition

$$G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_{|\, A:B_i \,|}$$

and that $\langle\, a \,\rangle$ acts semiregularly on the factors. Let $1 \leq i \leq l$. Writing each G_j as a direct product of indecomposable groups, we see that there exists k with $K_i \leq G_k$. Now a does not normalize G_k so it does not normalize K_i. Consequently $\langle\, a \,\rangle$ acts semiregularly on $\{\, K_1, \ldots, K_l \,\}$. In particular, $C_G(a)$ is a direct product of groups, one for each orbit of $\langle\, a \,\rangle$ on $\{\, K_1, \ldots, K_l \,\}$. Now $C_G(a) = \theta(a) = E_a$ and E_a is A-simple of type K. If $1 \neq e \in A_\infty$ then since E_e is not A-simple of type K, we see that e normalizes each K_i. Consequently

$$A_\infty = \ker(A \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}(\mathrm{comp}(G))),$$

completing the proof. □

5. Part 3

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1, by the previous theorem it suffices to show that if $A_\infty < B < A$ with $|\, A : B \,| = r$ then "does not commute" is an equivalence relation on $\Omega(B)$. If $\mathrm{rank}(A) \geq 4$, then $\mathrm{rank}(B) \geq 3$ so as θ is also a B-signalizer functor, this follows from [2, The Global Theorem, Theorem 10.2]. However, more work is needed to establish this fact if $\mathrm{rank}(A) = 3$.

Hypothesis 5.1.

- *$A_\infty < B < A$ with $\mathrm{rank}(B) = 2$.*
- *$a, b \in B \setminus A_\infty$ with $B = \langle\, a, b \,\rangle$.*
- *$E_a = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_\alpha$ and $E_b = J_1 \times \cdots \times J_\alpha$ where $\alpha = |\, A \,|/r^2$ and $K_1, \ldots, K_\alpha$ and $J_1, \ldots, J_\alpha$ are the B-components of E_a and E_b respectively.*

To justify the assertion made in the third part consider first the case that $A_\infty = 1$. Theorem 3.6 implies that E_a is the direct product of $|A|/r$ copies of K and $A_a = \langle a \rangle$. Since $a \in B$ and $|B| = r^2$ it follows that B has α orbits on $\mathrm{comp}(E_a)$. Consider the case $|A_\infty| = r$. Theorem 3.6 implies that E_a is the direct product of $|A|/r^2$ copies of K and $A_a = \langle A_\infty, a \rangle$. Then $B = A_a$ so B is trivial on $\mathrm{comp}(E_a)$. Again, B has α orbits on $\mathrm{comp}(E_a)$.

Lemma 5.2. *Assume Hypothesis 5.1. After a suitable renumbering, the following hold:*

(a) $F^*(C_{K_i}(B)) = F^*(C_{J_i}(B))$ *for all* i.
(b) $[C_{K_i}(B), J_j] = 1 = [K_i, C_{J_j}(B)]$ *for all* $i \neq j$.

Proof. Let $N = \theta(B)$, so that $N = \cap_{c \in B^\#} \theta(c)$. For any $c \in B^\#$ we have $C_{E_a}(B) \leq \theta(a) \cap C_G(c) \leq \theta(c)$ whence $C_{E_a}(B) \leq N$. As $a \in B^\#$ and $E_a = E(\theta(a))$ it follows that AN normalizes E_a. In fact, as AN centralizes B, it follows that AN permutes the B-components of E_a. Now E_a is A-simple so A acts transitively. Moreover, $N \trianglelefteq AN$ and N is an r'-group. This implies that N acts trivially. Consequently

$$C_{E_a}(B) = C_{K_1}(B) \times \cdots \times C_{K_\alpha}(B) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{K_i}(B) \trianglelefteq N.$$

Similarly

$$C_{E_b}(B) = C_{J_1}(B) \times \cdots \times C_{J_\alpha}(B) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{J_i}(B) \trianglelefteq N.$$

We have also shown that $C_{K_i}(B)$ normalizes J_j and $C_{J_j}(B)$ normalizes K_i for all i, j.

Using Lemma 2.1(b)(ii) we have $C_N(C_{E_b}(B)) \leq C_{\theta(b)}(C_{E_b}(B)) \leq C_{\theta(b)}(E_b)$. Now $E_b = E(\theta(b))$ so by assumption, $F(\theta(b)) = 1$ and hence $C_{\theta(b)}(E_b) = 1$. Consequently

$$C_N(C_{E_b}(B)) = 1.$$

Let $1 \leq i \leq \alpha$. By the above, there exists j such that $[C_{K_i}(B), C_{J_j}(B)] \neq 1$. Then

$$1 \neq [C_{K_i}(B), C_{J_j}(B)] \leq C_{K_i}(B) \cap C_{J_j}(B) \trianglelefteq \langle C_{K_i}(B), C_{J_j}(B) \rangle.$$

Lemma 2.1(b)(i) implies that $F^*(C_{K_i}(B))$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of $C_{K_i}(B)$. Then $F^*(C_{K_i}(B)) \leq F^*(C_{K_i}(B) \cap C_{J_j}(B)) \leq F^*(C_{J_j}(B))$. By symmetry we have

$$F^*(C_{K_i}(B)) = F^*(C_{J_j}(B)).$$

If $k \neq j$ then $F^*(C_{J_j}(B)) \neq F^*(C_{J_k}(B))$ because $J_j \cap J_k = 1$. It follows that $F^*(C_{J_k}(B)) \neq F^*(C_{K_i}(B))$, so j is uniquely determined. Hence $[C_{K_i}(B), C_{J_k}(B)] = 1$ for all $k \neq j$.

After a suitable renumbering, we have

$$F^*(C_{K_i}(B)) = F^*(C_{J_i}(B))$$

for all i and

$$[C_{K_i}(B), C_{J_j}(B)] = 1$$

for all $i \neq j$. To prove (b) suppose that $i \neq j$. At the beginning of the proof, we observed that $C_{K_i}(B)$ normalizes J_j. Then (b) follows from Lemma 2.1(b)(ii). □

Henceforth, whenever we assume Hypothesis 5.1, we assume the ordering has been chosen on accordance with Lemma 5.2.

Lemma 5.3. *Assume Hypothesis 5.1. Then*

$$C_{K_i}(B) = C_{J_i}(B)$$

for all i.

Proof. Without loss $i = 1$. Choose $c \in A \setminus B$. Now A/A_∞ is regular on $\mathrm{comp}(E_b)$ and $J_1, \ldots, J_\alpha$ are the subgroups generated by the orbits of B on $\mathrm{comp}(E_b)$. It follows that $\langle\ c\ \rangle$ is semiregular on $\{\ J_1, \ldots, J_\alpha\ \}$. Then visibly $C_{E_b}(B) \cap C_G(c)$ induces the same group on $C_{J_1}(B)$ as does $C_{J_1}(B)$. Also, $\langle\ c\ \rangle$ is semiregular on $\{\ K_1, \ldots, K_\alpha\ \}$ so using Lemma 5.2 we see that $C_{E_a}(B) \cap C_G(c)$ induces the same group on $C_{J_1}(B)$ as does $C_{K_1}(B)$. Using Lemma 3.4(c), with (b, c) in the role of (a, b), we have

$$C_{E_b}(B) \cap C_G(c) = C_G(B) \cap C_{E_b}(c) = C_G(B) \cap C_{E_c}(b) = C_{E_c}(B).$$

Similarly, $C_{E_a}(B) \cap C_G(c) = C_{E_c}(B)$. We deduce that $C_{K_1}(B)$ and $C_{J_1}(B)$ induce the same group on $C_{J_1}(B)$.

Let $X = C_{\theta(B)}(C_{J_2}(B) \times \cdots \times C_{J_\alpha}(B))$. Lemma 2.1(b)(ii) implies that $[X, J_2 \times \cdots \times J_\alpha] = 1$. Moreover, $F(\theta(b)) = 1$ so $F^*(\theta(b)) = J_1 \times \cdots \times J_\alpha$ and it follows that X is faithful on $C_{J_1}(B)$. Clearly $C_{J_1}(B) \leq X$. By Lemma 5.2, $C_{K_1}(B) \leq X$. Since $C_{J_1}(B)$ and $C_{K_1}(B)$ induce the same group on $C_{J_1}(B)$, we deduce that $C_{J_1}(B) = C_{K_1}(B)$. □

Corollary 5.4. *Let $a, b \in A \setminus A_\infty$. Then*

$$C_{E_a}(b) = C_{E_b}(a).$$

Proof. Suppose $\langle\ a\ \rangle = \langle\ b\ \rangle$. Then $\theta(a) = \theta(a) \cap C_G(b) \leq \theta(b)$. By symmetry, $\theta(a) = \theta(b)$ whence $E_a = E_b$ and the conclusion follows. If $b \notin A_a$ then the conclusion follows from Lemma 3.4(c). Hence we may suppose that $b \in A_a$. Set $B = A_a$. Lemma 3.5 implies $B = \langle\ a, b\ \rangle$. Also, $A_\infty < A_a$. Using Lemma 5.3 we have $C_{E_a}(b) = C_{E_a}(B) = C_{E_b}(B) = C_{E_b}(a)$. □

Recall that by hypothesis, θ is an A-signalizer functor on G. Also if p is a prime then a (p, θ)-subgroup of G is an A-invariant p-subgroup P with the property

$$C_P(a) \leq \theta(a)$$

for all $a \in A^\#$. The set of maximal (p, θ)-subgroups is denoted by $\mathrm{Syl}_p(G; \theta)$. The Transitivity Theorem, [5, p. 309] asserts that $\theta(A)$ acts transitively by conjugation on $\mathrm{Syl}_p(G; A)$. Consequently $C_P(a) \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(\theta(a))$ for all $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G; \theta)$ and $a \in A^\#$.

Lemma 5.5. *Assume Hypothesis 5.1. Let p be a prime and $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G; \theta)$. Then*

$$[Z(P \cap E_a), Z(P \cap E_b)] = 1.$$

Proof. For each $c \in A \setminus A_\infty$ set $P_c = P \cap E_c$. Now $E_c \trianglelefteq \theta(c)$ so the preceding discussions imply $P_c \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(E_c; A)$.

Let $c \in A \setminus B$. We claim that

$$C_{Z(P_a)}(c) = C_{Z(P_c)}(a).$$

Note that P_a is the direct product of its intersections with the components of E_a. Since $c \in A \setminus B$ and $A_a = \langle\ A_\infty, a\ \rangle \leq B$ we have $c \notin A_a$ so $\langle\ c\ \rangle$ is semiregular on $\mathrm{comp}(E_a)$ and hence on the factors of P_a. It follows that $Z(C_{P_a}(c)) \leq Z(P_a)$. Consequently

$$Z(C_{P_a}(c)) = C_{Z(P_a)}(c).$$

Since $c \notin A_a$, Lemma 3.4(c) implies $a \notin A_c$ and $C_{P_a}(c) = C_{P_c}(a)$. Then $Z(C_{P_a}(c)) = Z(C_{P_c}(a))$. Repeating the above argument, we have $Z(C_{P_c}(a)) = C_{Z(P_c)}(a)$ and the claim follows. For any such c we also have $C_{Z(P_b)}(c) = C_{Z(P_c)}(b)$. We deduce that

$$[C_{Z(P_a)}(c), C_{Z(P_b)}(c)] = 1$$

for all $c \in A \setminus B$.

Assume now the lemma to be false. Then $[Z(P_a), Z(P_b)] \neq 1$. By Coprime Action, there exists $C < A$ with $|\, A : C \,| = r$ and $[C_{Z(P_a)}(C), Z(P_b)] \neq 1$. Now $\mathrm{rank}(A) \geq 3$ so C is noncyclic. Again by Coprime Action, there exists $c \in C^{\#}$ with $[C_{Z(P_a)}(C), C_{Z(P_b)}(c)] \neq 1$. In particular

$$(*) \qquad [C_{Z(P_a)}(c), C_{Z(P_b)}(c)] \neq 1.$$

The previous paragraph implies $c \in B$. If $B = \langle\, a, c \,\rangle$ then as $b \in B$ and using Corollary 5.4 we have

$$C_{Z(P_a)}(c) \leq P \cap C_{E_a}(b) = P \cap C_{E_b}(a) \leq P_b,$$

contrary to $(*)$. Thus $c \in \langle\, a \,\rangle$. Similarly $c \in \langle\, b \,\rangle$. But by Hypothesis 5.1, $\langle\, a \,\rangle \neq \langle\, b \,\rangle$, a contradiction. □

Lemma 5.6. *Assume Hypothesis 5.1 Then*

$$[K_i, J_j] = 1$$

for all $i \neq j$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.6, each member of $\Omega(B)$ is B-simple of type K so Lemma 2.1(c) implies there exists a prime p such that

$$J = \langle\, C_J(B), Z(P_0) \,\rangle$$

whenever $J \in \Omega(B)$ and $P_0 \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(J; B)$. Choose $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G; \theta)$. By the Transitivity Theorem, $C_p(a) \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(\theta(a); A)$ so as $K_i \trianglelefteq\trianglelefteq \theta(a)$ we have $P \cap K_i \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(K_i; B)$. Similarly, $P \cap J_j \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(J_j; B)$. Consequently

$$K_i = \langle\, C_{K_i}(B), Z(P \cap K_i) \,\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad J_j = \langle\, C_{J_j}(B), Z(P \cap J_j) \,\rangle.$$

Lemma 5.2(b) implies $[C_{K_i}(B), J_j] = 1 = [K_i, C_{J_j}(B)]$. As $E_a = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_\alpha$ we have $Z(P \cap K_i) \leq Z(P \cap E_a)$. Similarly $Z(P \cap J_j) \leq Z(P \cap E_b)$. Lemma 5.5 implies that $[Z(P \cap K_i), Z(P \cap J_j)] = 1$. It follows that $[K_i, J_j] = 1$. □

Proof of Theorem 1. By Theorem 4.3 it suffices to choose $B \cong \mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_r$ with $A_\infty < B < A$ and prove that "does not commute" is an equivalence relation on $\Omega(B)$. This will follow if we can show that

$$[X, Y] \neq 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad C_X(B) = C_Y(B)$$

whenever $X, Y \in \Omega(B)$.

Choose $X, Y \in \Omega(B)$. By the definition of $\Omega(B)$, there exists $a, b \in B \setminus A_\infty$ such that $X \in \mathrm{comp}_B(E_a)$ and $Y \in \mathrm{comp}_B(E_b)$. The "if" direction is clear since as X is B-simple, $C_X(B) \neq 1$. Thus to complete the proof, we assume $[X, Y] \neq 1$ and aim to show $C_X(B) = C_Y(B)$.

If $\langle\, a \,\rangle = \langle\, b \,\rangle$ then since θ is an A-signalizer functor we have $\theta(a) = \theta(b)$, whence $E_a = E_b$. As distinct B-components of E_a commute, the conclusion is clear. Hence we assume that $\langle\, a \,\rangle \neq \langle\, b \,\rangle$. Then $B = \langle\, a, b \,\rangle$ and Hypothesis 5.1

is satisfied. In particular $X = K_i$ and $Y = J_j$ for some i, j. Lemmas 5.6 and 5.3 imply $C_X(B) = C_Y(B)$. The proof is complete. □

References

1. P. Flavell, *A new proof of the Solvable Signalizer Functor Theorem,* J. Algebra **398** (2014) 350-363
2. P. Flavell, *Automorphisms of K-groups I,* Preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.01969
3. P. Flavell, *Automorphisms of K-groups II,* Preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.02380
4. D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, R.M. Solomon, *The classification of the finite simple groups,* (Mathematical Surverys and Monographs, **40**, American Math. Soc., Providence Rhode Island)
5. H. Kurzweil and B. Stellmacher, *The theory of finite groups. An introduction.* Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York 2004.
6. P.P. McBride, *Near solvable signalizer functors on finite groups,* J. Algebra **78**(1) (1982) 181-214
7. P.P. McBride, *Nonsolvable signalizer functors on finite groups,* J. Algebra **78**(1) (1982) 215-238
8. M. Suzuki, *Group Theory I.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1986

The School of Mathematics, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, Great Britain

E-mail address: P.J.Flavell@bham.ac.uk